

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/610,551	07/05/2000	Carlos F. Barbas	TSRI 409.1D2	4664
7.	590 11/04/2002			
Thomas E No		EXAMINER		
	search Institute orrey Pines Road	SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
Mail Drop TPC La Jolla, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	1,[

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/610,551

Applicant(s)

T.

Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1644

Barbas et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the	e co	ver she	et with	the correspondence address		
Period f	for Reply					ļ		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
If the p If NO p Failure Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will e he applic	expire cation	e SIX (6) N n to become	MONTHS f	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						1		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					· · '		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is	nor	n-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
-	tion of Claims							
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 14-22, 24-32, and 34					is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above, claim(s) 4, 5, 8, 11, and 12							
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	11				is/are allowed.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 14-22, 24-32,						is/are rejected.		
7) 🛭	Claim(s) 15,16,20,26,27	<u>. </u>				is/are objected to.		
_	Claims							
Applica	ation Papers					1		
9) 🔀	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					l de la companya de		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	; a) 🗌	ac	scepted	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	Irawir	ıg(s)) be held	d in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			is:	a) 🗌 🔞	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	iner.				l de la companya de		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					l de la companya de		
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority	/ un	der 35	U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					l de la companya de		
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	⁄e be€	an re	eceived	j.	İ		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	∕e be€	an re	eceived	l in Ap	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the							
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	-	-					
	The translation of the foreign language provisional							
15)🔀	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ity ι	under 3	5 U.S.	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachme		_	-					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		_		•	O-413) Paper No(s)		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	_		mal Paten	nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) L im	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)	Othe	ar:				

1. Claims 14-22,24-32,34 are under consideration. Claims 23 and 33 have been canceled. Claims 14,24,25,34 have been amended.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANTS ARGUMENTS

2. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)).

The specification, page 1, discloses that instant application is "related" to various cited applications, but "related" is not a claim to priority under 35 U.S.C. 120. Thus, this application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a ---- of Application No. ----, filed ----. " should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included. Reference to parent applications 08/300,386 and 08/931,645 must be included in the first sentence of the specification.

3. The use of the trademarks such as "PBLUESCRIPT," page 42, lines 9 and 15, "TAQ," page 46, line 12, "GENEAMP," page 46, line 16, "MICROTITER" page 71, lines 2 and 3, "BIACORE" page 75, lines 31 and 35, and so on, of the specification has been noted in this application. They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the propriety nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Serial No. 09/610551 Art Unit 1644

4. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington,* 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel,* 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum,* 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi,* 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman,* 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and ° may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 199 4, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 14,17–19,21,22,24,25,28–32,34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness–type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1–26 of U.S. Patent No. 5759817. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons. While the two sets of claims differ in scope, both sets of claims encompass methods which make an antibody with a mutagenized light chain CDR using PCR and the same generic primers. Therefore, the two sets of claims under consideration in this rejection would have been prima facie obvious in view of each other to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the aforementioned reasons. Claims 21,22,31,32 are included based on an interpretation of the indefinite term "characteristics" (see below) as encompassing antibody sequences which are not identical to that recited in the claims (wherein the characteristics are functional features common to all antibodies).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

Art Unit 1644

make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 22 and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicants arguments have been considered and deemed not persuasive.

It is apparent that hybridoma (ATCC Accession Number 75408) is required to practice the claimed invention. The reproduction of an identical cell line is an extremely unpredictable event. As a required element, it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. The instant specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the hybridomas and it is not apparent if the hybridomas are readily available to the public. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of said hybridoma. See 37 C.F.R. 1.802. It is noted that the Applicants have disclosed on page 10 that the cell line was deposited with ATCC on Feb. 2, 1993, under terms of the Budapest Treaty.

If a deposit is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements. See 37 C.F.R. 1.808.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.809 (d) should be added to the specification. See 37 C.F.R. 1.803-1.809 for additional explanation of these requirements, Amendment of the specification to disclose the date of deposit and the complete name and address of the depository is required (ATCC, 10801 University Boulevard, Manassas, VA 20110-2209) on pages 34, line 25 and page 90, line 16.

Regarding applicants comments, the submitted deposit statement is not an affidavit or

declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty <u>and</u> that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements. See 37 C.F.R. 1.808.

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 21,22,31,32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 21,22,31,32 are indefinite in the recitation of "characteristics" because it is unclear what said term means in the context recited in the claim. Said term has no art recognized meaning and it is not defined in the specification. It is unclear if said term encompasses an identical sequence or a sequence that is different from that recited in the claim which shares some other property.

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371° of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined

Serial No. 09/610551 Art Unit 1644

was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

11. Claims 14, 17–19,21,22,24, 25 and 28–32,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barbas (US Patent 5,759,817).

Barbas teaches the claimed invention (see claims 1–26). Claims 21,22,31,32 are included based on an interpretation of the indefinite term "characteristics" as encompassing antibody sequences which are not identical to that recited in the claims (wherein the characteristics are functional features common to all antibodies).

- 12. Claims 15,16,20,26,27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. No claim is allowed.
- 14. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-4242.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308–4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308–3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

Art Unit 1644

directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1900 (400

Primary Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1644